## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION No. 5:99-CR-4-BR

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
v.	ORDER
CARL DOUGLAS CONSOLVO	

This matter is before the court on defendant's letter filed 26 May 2016 requesting relief regarding his 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) conviction based on the decision in <u>Johnson v. United States</u>, 125 S. Ct. 2551 (2015), and the appointment of counsel. (DE # 39.)

On the same day the court received defendant's letter, pursuant to standing order, counsel was appointed to represent him. (DE # 40.) Shortly thereafter, counsel filed on defendant's behalf a motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 challenging defendant's § 924(c) conviction in light of <u>Johnson</u>. (DE # 42, at 4.) On the government's unopposed motion, the court stayed the proceeding pending the decisions in <u>United States v. Simms</u>, 914 F.3d 229 (4th Cir. 2019) (en banc), and <u>United States v. Walker</u>, 934 F.3d 375 (4th Cir. 2019). (DE # 47.) After <u>Simms</u> issued, defendant, through counsel, withdrew his § 2255 motion. (DE # 48.)

Because the substance of defendant's request for relief was raised in his § 2255 motion, defendant's *pro se* request for relief regarding his § 924(c) conviction is DENIED as moot.

This 17 October 2019.

W. Earl Britt Senior U.S. District Judge